

First-order theory of lines in Euclidean plane

T. Ivanova¹ T. Tinchev²

¹Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences

²Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski"

Logic Colloquium 2019,
August 11-16, 2019, Prague

This talk is supported by contract DN02/15/19.12.2016
"Space, Time and Modality: Relational, Algebraic and
Topological Models" with Bulgarian NSF.

The paper

P. BALBIANI AND T. TINCHEV, *Line-based affine reasoning in Euclidean plane*, **Journal of Applied Logic**, vol. 5 (2007), pp. 421–434.

gives qualitative spatial reasoning in Euclidean plane based **solely on lines**. The relations of parallelism and convergence between lines are considered.

- **P** - parallel
- **C** - converge

Parallelism and convergence

It is considered the structure

$$\langle LE^2; P, C \rangle,$$

where LE^2 is the set of all lines in Euclidean plane.

Parallelism and convergence

The axiomatization of the theory of the structure is called **SAP** and contains the following axioms:

$$\text{IRREF} \quad \forall x \neg P(x, x) \quad \forall x \neg C(x, x)$$

$$\text{TRANS} \quad \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y) \wedge C(y, z) \rightarrow C(x, z))$$

$$\text{UNIV} \quad \forall x \forall y (x = y \vee P(x, y) \vee C(x, y))$$

DENS_n

$$\forall x \forall y_1 \dots \forall y_n (P(x, y_1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(x, y_n) \rightarrow \\ \exists z (P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y_1) \wedge \dots \wedge P(z, y_n))), \quad n \geq 0$$

$$\forall x \forall y_1 \dots \forall y_n (C(x, y_1) \wedge \dots \wedge C(x, y_n) \rightarrow \\ \exists z (C(x, z) \wedge C(z, y_1) \wedge \dots \wedge C(z, y_n))), \quad n \geq 0$$

Parallelism and convergence + perpendicularity

In this talk we consider a continuation of the paper by adding a new predicate - **perpendicularity**, denoted by O .

We consider the language $\mathcal{L} = \langle ; ; P, C, O, = \rangle$

and the structure $\langle LE^2; P, C, O \rangle$, where LE^2 is the set of all lines in Euclidean plane

- P - parallel
- C - converge
- O - perpendicular

- We introduce a first-order theory of lines in Euclidean plane with predicates parallelism, convergence and perpendicularity.
- The logic is complete with respect to the Euclidean plane.
- The theory is ω - categorical and not categorical in every uncountable cardinality.
- We prove that the membership problem of the logic is PSPACE-complete.

Parallelism and convergence + perpendicularity

$$\mu_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x \forall y (O(x, y) \rightarrow O(y, x))$$

$$\mu_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x \forall y \forall z (O(x, z) \wedge O(z, y) \rightarrow (x = y) \vee P(x, y))$$

$$\mu_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x \forall y (O(x, y) \rightarrow C(x, y))$$

$$\mu_4 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x \exists y O(x, y)$$

$$\mu_5 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(y, x) \wedge O(x, z) \rightarrow O(y, z))$$

We add μ_1, \dots, μ_5 to **SAP** and we obtain a theory, called **SAPP**.

Let \mathcal{A} be a model of *SAPP*. \sim_C is an equivalence relation in A which splits it into infinitely many infinite equivalence classes.

We define the relation between equivalence classes $R_{\mathcal{A}}$ in the following way

$[a]R_{\mathcal{A}}[b]$ iff $O(a, b)$ for any $a, b \in A$

For every equivalence class $[a]$ there exists **exactly one** equivalence class $[b]$ such that $[a]R_{\mathcal{A}}[b]$.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{A} be a countable model of SAPP, \mathcal{B} be a model of SAPP. Then \mathcal{A} is elementary embeddable in \mathcal{B} .

Corollary

SAPP is maximal consistent.

Proposition

SAPP $\vdash \varphi$ iff φ is true in the Euclidean plane.

Proposition

- (i) P is not definable with O, C in every model of SAPP;
- (ii) O is not definable with P, C in every model of SAPP;
- (iii) The ternary predicate Co ($Co(a, b, c)$ iff there is exactly one point incident with a, b and c) is not definable in $\langle LE^2; P, C, O \rangle$;
- (iv) C is definable by O in every model of SAPP;
- (v) $=$ is definable by P, C in every model of SAPP.

Proposition

SAPP is not finitely axiomatizable.

Proposition

The problem if a closed formula logically follows from *SAPP* is *PSPACE*-complete.

1) The problem if a closed formula logically follows from *SAPP* is in *PSPACE*.

$EQ^\infty = \{\varphi: \varphi \text{ is closed formula in the language } \mathcal{L}_1 \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is true in all infinite structures}\}$, where $\mathcal{L}_1 = \langle ; ; = \rangle$

The membership problem in EQ^∞ is in *PSPACE*. [Balbiani and Tinchev, 2007]

It is enough to juxtapose to every closed formula φ in \mathcal{L} a closed formula φ_1 in \mathcal{L}_1 and to ensure that φ_1 can be obtained from φ algorithmically with use of memory polynomial in the size of φ , and $SAPP \models \varphi$ iff $\varphi_1 \in EQ^\infty$.

Sketch of the proof

Let \mathcal{A}^* be the substructure of the structure for the language \mathcal{L} with universe the set of all lines in the Euclidean plane, that is obtained by **eliminating of the lines, parallel to X-axis, the lines parallel to Y-axis and the lines with equation of the kind $y = bx$.**

- $\mathcal{A}^* \models SAPP$
- for any closed formula φ in \mathcal{L} : $SAPP \models \varphi$ iff $\mathcal{A}^* \models \varphi$

Sketch of the proof

We consider the language \mathcal{L}_p which is obtained from \mathcal{L} by removing C and $=$.

We juxtapose to every closed formula φ in \mathcal{L} a closed formula φ_p in \mathcal{L}_p by replacing every $C(x, y)$ by $\exists z(O(x, z) \wedge \neg O(y, z))$ and every $x = y$ by $\neg P(x, y) \wedge \forall z(O(x, z) \rightarrow O(y, z))$.

$$\mathcal{A}^* \models \varphi \text{ iff } \mathcal{A}^* \models \varphi_p$$

Let \mathcal{R} be the structure for \mathcal{L}_1 with universe $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

$$\text{For any closed formula } \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{L}_1 : \mathcal{R} \models \varphi \text{ iff } \varphi \in EQ^\infty$$

Sketch of the proof

We associate with a line a with equation $y = bx + c$ the numbers $a^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b$, $a^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -1/b$, $a^3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c$ ("coordinates").

For φ_p in \mathcal{L}_p we define $\widehat{\varphi}_p$ in \mathcal{L}_1 in such way that:
 φ_p is true on some lines iff $\widehat{\varphi}_p$ is true on their "coordinates", i.e. for any

$$a_1, \dots, a_n \in A^* \quad \mathcal{A}^* \models \varphi_p[a_1, \dots, a_n] \iff \mathcal{R} \models \widehat{\varphi}_p[\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}]$$

Sketch of the proof

- if $\varphi_p = P(x_1, x_2)$, then $\widehat{\varphi}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_1^1 = x_2^1) \wedge (x_1^3 \neq x_2^3)$
- if $\varphi_p = O(x_1, x_2)$, then $\widehat{\varphi}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_1^1 = x_2^2) \wedge (x_2^1 = x_1^2)$
- if $\varphi_p = \neg\varphi'$, then $\widehat{\varphi}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \neg\widehat{\varphi}'$
- if $\varphi_p = \varphi' \wedge \varphi''$, then $\widehat{\varphi}_p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{\varphi}' \wedge \widehat{\varphi}''$

Sketch of the proof

- if $\varphi_p = \exists x_n \varphi'$ and φ' has free variables among x_1, \dots, x_n , then $\widehat{\varphi_p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists x_n^1 \exists x_n^2 \exists x_n^3 (\widehat{\varphi'} \wedge \kappa_n)$, where for any natural number n κ_n is a formula with free variables $x_1^1, x_1^2, x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots, x_n^1, x_n^2$, defined in the following way

$$\kappa_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{i < n} [(x_i^1 = x_n^1 \leftrightarrow x_i^2 = x_n^2) \wedge (x_i^1 = x_n^2 \leftrightarrow x_n^1 = x_i^2)] \wedge (x_n^1 \neq x_n^2)$$

κ_n is true for the coordinates of any line.

Sketch of the proof

By $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}$ we denote $a_1^1, a_1^2, a_1^3, \dots, a_n^1, a_n^2, a_n^3$,
by $\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_n}$ we denote $b_1^1, b_1^2, a_1^3, \dots, b_n^1, b_n^2, a_n^3$.

Lemma

For any formula φ_p in \mathcal{L}_p , for any x_1, \dots, x_n and for any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A^$,
if φ_p has free variables among x_1, \dots, x_n , then*

$A^* \models \varphi_p[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ iff $\mathcal{R} \models \widehat{\varphi}_p[\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}]$

Sketch of the proof

The proof is induction on φ_p .

For $\varphi_p = \exists x_n \varphi'$ and for the direction \Leftarrow we obtain that there are real numbers, different from 0 - a_n^1, a_n^2, a_n^3 such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models \widehat{\varphi}' \wedge \kappa_n[\overline{a}_1, \dots, \overline{a}_n]$$

It is possible $a_n^1 \cdot a_n^2 \neq -1$, therefore we cannot apply the induction hypothesis and we must find b_n^1, b_n^2, b_n^3 such that

$$\mathcal{R} \models \widehat{\varphi}'[\overline{a}_1, \dots, \overline{a}_{n-1}, \overline{b}_n] \text{ and } b_n^1 \cdot b_n^2 = -1.$$

Sketch of the proof

For this purpose for fixed number triples real numbers, satisfying certain conditions, we will define **corresponding** to them the same number triples real numbers such that the multiplication of the first two elements of every triple is -1 .

We will prove that for any formula φ_p in \mathcal{L}_p $\widehat{\varphi_p}$ is equally true on real numbers and their corresponding (if there are such).

For any natural number n we define a formula ψ_n with free variables $x_1^1, x_1^2, x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots, x_n^1, x_n^2$ in the following way

$$\psi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left[(x_i^1 = x_j^1 \leftrightarrow x_i^2 = x_j^2) \wedge (x_i^1 = x_j^2 \leftrightarrow x_j^1 = x_i^2) \right] \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (x_i^1 \neq x_i^2)$$

Sketch of the proof

Definition

Let n be a positive integer. Let $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}$ be real numbers, different from 0 such that $\mathcal{R} \models \psi_n[\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}]$. We will say that the real numbers $\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_n}$ are corresponding to $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}$, if:

- 1) for any $i = 1, \dots, n$ $b_i^1 \cdot b_i^2 = -1$
- 2) for any $i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i_1 < i_2$ it is true:

$$(a_{i_1}^1 = a_{i_2}^1) \wedge (a_{i_1}^3 \neq a_{i_2}^3) \text{ iff } (b_{i_1}^1 = b_{i_2}^1) \wedge (b_{i_1}^3 \neq b_{i_2}^3)$$

and

$$(a_{i_1}^1 = a_{i_2}^2) \wedge (a_{i_2}^1 = a_{i_1}^2) \text{ iff } (b_{i_1}^1 = b_{i_2}^2) \wedge (b_{i_2}^1 = b_{i_1}^2)$$

Sketch of the proof

For the rest of the proof the following two lemmas are enough

Lemma

Let $\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_n}$ be corresponding to $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}$ and $a_{n+1}^1, a_{n+1}^2, a_{n+1}^3$ be real numbers, different from 0 such that $\mathcal{R} \models \kappa_{n+1}[\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_{n+1}}]$. Then there exist real numbers b_{n+1}^1, b_{n+1}^2 such that $\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_{n+1}}$ are corresponding to $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_{n+1}}$.

Lemma

For any formula φ_p in \mathcal{L}_p we have:

if $\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_n}$ are corresponding to $\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}$, then

$\mathcal{R} \models \widehat{\varphi}_p[\overline{a_1}, \dots, \overline{a_n}]$ iff $\mathcal{R} \models \widehat{\varphi}_p[\overline{b_1}, \dots, \overline{b_n}]$.

Lemma

For any formula φ_p in \mathcal{L}_p we have: if φ_p has length n , then $\widehat{\varphi}_p$ has length $\leq 23n^2 - 115n + 377$.

Sketch of the proof

For to prove that the problem if a closed formula logically follows from SAPP is **PSPACE-hard**, it suffices to prove the following

Lemma

SAPP is a conservative extension of SAP.

Proposition

SAPP is ω -categorical.

Proposition

SAPP is not categorical in any uncountable cardinality.

- the ternary predicate $Co(Co(a,b,c))$ iff there is exactly one point incident with a,b,c to be added to the language.
- to be considered higher dimensions

Thank you very much!