

# On universal modules with pure embeddings

T. G. Kucera<sup>1</sup>   M. Mazari-Armida<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba  
Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematical Science, Carnegie Mellon University  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Logic Colloquium 2019  
2019-08-16



**University  
of Manitoba**

# Abstract elementary classes

**Abstract elementary classes** (AECs) were introduced by Shelah in the 1980s to unify various *ad hoc* methods in non-elementary model theory (mostly infinitary logic) where important consequences of the Compactness Theorem were not available.

**Limit models**—limits of **universal models**—were introduced by Kolman and Shelah as a substitute in AECs for saturated models.

In the absence of syntax, what about types?

In the absence of syntax, what about types?

- (hereditary) invariance under automorphisms

“Galois types”, “semantic types”

## Definition

An AEC  $\mathbf{K}$  is  $\lambda$ -Galois stable

iff

for every  $\mathcal{M}$  of cardinality  $\leq \lambda$ ,  
the set of Galois types over  $\mathcal{M}$  also has cardinality  $\leq \lambda$ .

## Context

- $R$  is a ring with unit;
- $\mathcal{L}_R$  is the usual language for (left)  $R$ -modules;
- $\tau = |\mathcal{L}_R|$ ;
- $T$  is some consistent theory extending the usual theory of (left)  $R$ -modules.

$\mathbf{K}^T$  is the abstract class consisting of all models of  $T$  with the order given by “pure substructure”.

## Lemma

$\mathbf{K}^T$  is an AEC

- with Löwenheim-Skolem number  $\tau$ ,
- and no maximal models.



## Lemma

$\mathbf{K}^T$  is an AEC

- with Löwenheim-Skolem number  $\tau$ ,
- and no maximal models.

## Lemma

*If  $\mathbf{K}^T$  is closed under direct sums,  
then  $\mathbf{K}^T$  has*

- the joint embedding property  
and
- the amalgamation property.

# Modules: interesting AECs

- torsion free abelian groups;
- the class of models of any complete theory of modules;
- the class of all  $R$ -modules;
- any universal Horn class of modules;
- the torsion-free class of a radical of finite type;
- the torsion class of a left exact radical closed under products;
- the class of flat left modules over a right coherent ring;
- ...

# $K^T$ : main hypothesis

## Main Hypothesis

$K^T$  has

- an infinite model;
- joint embedding;
- the amalgamation property.

## Theorem (Theorem 3.16)

*If  $\lambda = \lambda^\tau$ , then  $\mathbf{K}^T$  is  $\lambda$ -Galois stable.*

## Theorem (Theorem 3.16)

*If  $\lambda = \lambda^\tau$ , then  $\mathbf{K}^T$  is  $\lambda$ -Galois stable.*

Note that every complete theory of modules is stable.

## Theorem (Theorem 3.19)

*If*

$$\lambda = \lambda^\tau$$

*or*

$$\forall \mu < \lambda (\mu^\tau < \lambda)$$

*Then*  $\mathbf{K}^T$  *has a universal model of cardinality*  $\lambda$  .

“Modules” didn’t matter in the proof of the previous theorem:

“Modules” didn’t matter in the proof of the previous theorem:

## Corollary (Cor. 3.20)

*Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be an AEC satisfying*

- *joint embedding, amalgamation, no maximal models*
- *There is  $\theta_0 \geq \text{LS}(\mathbf{K})$  and  $\kappa$  such that for all  $\theta \geq \theta_0$ , if  $\theta^\kappa = \theta$ , then  $\mathbf{K}$  is  $\theta$ -Galois stable;*

*Then:*

- *For  $\lambda > \theta_0$ , if  $\lambda^\kappa = \lambda$  or  $\forall \mu < \lambda (\mu^\kappa < \lambda)$ , then there is a universal model of cardinality  $\lambda$ .*



## Corollary (Cor. 4.1)

$\mathbf{K}^T$  has a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -limit model whenever  $\lambda^\tau = \lambda$  and  $\alpha < \lambda^+$ .

## Corollary (Cor. 4.1)

$\mathbf{K}^T$  has a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -limit model whenever  $\lambda^\tau = \lambda$  and  $\alpha < \lambda^+$ .

## Lemma (Lemma 4.3)

*If*

$\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{K}^T$  are limit models

*then*

$\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{B}$ .

# $K^T$ : “Big” limit models

## Theorem (Theorem 4.4)

Suppose  $\lambda \geq \tau^+$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -limit model with  $\text{cf}(\alpha) \geq \tau^+$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is pure-injective.

# $K^T$ : “Big” limit models

## Theorem (Theorem 4.4)

Suppose  $\lambda \geq \tau^+$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -limit model with  $\text{cf}(\alpha) \geq \tau^+$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is pure-injective.

As a consequence of Bumby’s theorem,

## Corollary (Corollary 4.6)

Suppose  $\lambda \geq \tau^+$ .

If

$\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'$  are  $\lambda$ -limit models of cofinality  $\geq \tau^+$

then

$\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}'$ .

# $\mathbf{K}^T$ : “Small” limit models

Assume in addition that  $\mathbf{K}^T$  is closed under direct sums.

## Theorem (Theorem 4.8)

Suppose  $\lambda \geq \tau^+$ .

*If*

- $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\lambda, \omega)$ -limit model  
and
- $\mathcal{N}$  is a  $(\lambda, \tau^+)$ -limit model

*then*

- $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}^{(\aleph_0)}$ .

## Theorem (Theorem 4.12)

Let  $R$  be countable, and  $T$  a theory of  $R$ -modules satisfying the Main Hypothesis.

- 1 If  $\mathbf{K}^T$  is Galois-superstable, then there is  $\mu < \beth_{(2^{\aleph_0})^+}$  such that for every  $\lambda \geq \mu$  there is a unique limit model of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

## Theorem (Theorem 4.12)

Let  $R$  be countable, and  $T$  a theory of  $R$ -modules satisfying the Main Hypothesis.

- 1 If  $\mathbf{K}^T$  is Galois-superstable, then there is  $\mu < \beth_{(2^{\aleph_0})^+}$  such that for every  $\lambda \geq \mu$  there is a unique limit model of cardinality  $\lambda$ .
- 2 If  $\mathbf{K}^T$  is *not* Galois-superstable, then for every  $\lambda \geq \aleph_1$ , there are either *no* limit models of cardinality  $\lambda$ , or there are precisely two limit models of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

# Torsion-free abelian groups

This project grew out of the work of Marcos Mazari-Armida on torsion free abelian groups.



# Torsion-free abelian groups

This project grew out of the work of Marcos Mazari-Armida on torsion free abelian groups.

$\mathbf{K}^{tf}$  is the AEC of torsion-free abelian groups with pure embeddings.

## Theorem (Mazari-Armida)

*If  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{K}^{tf}$  is a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -limit model with  $\text{cf } \alpha \geq \aleph_1$  then*

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbf{Q}^{(\lambda)} \oplus \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{(p)}^{(\lambda)}$$

These are all pure-injective.

## Theorem (Theorem 4.14)

If  $A \in \mathbf{K}^{tf}$  is a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -limit model with  $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ , then

$$A \cong \mathbf{Q}^{(\lambda)} \oplus \prod_p \overline{\mathbf{Z}}_{(p)}^{(\lambda)}{}^{(\aleph_0)}.$$

Hence no such limit model is pure-injective.

## Theorem (Theorem 4.14)

If  $A \in \mathbf{K}^{tf}$  is a  $(\lambda, \alpha)$ -limit model with  $\text{cf}(\alpha) = \omega$ , then

$$A \cong \mathbb{Q}^{(\lambda)} \oplus \prod_p \overline{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)}^{(\lambda)}{}^{(\aleph_0)}.$$

Hence no such limit model is pure-injective.

## Observation

In the AEC of torsion-free abelian groups the existence of a universal model of cardinality  $\aleph_n$  is independent of ZFC, for any  $1 \leq n \leq \omega$ .

- Examples and counterexamples in AECs of modules, based on the current understanding of the finitary first order theory of modules.
- Applications of the technology of AECs to non-elementary classes of modules.

- Marcos Mazari-Armida: Algebraic descriptions of limit models in classes of abelian groups, arXiv:1810.02203
- Thomas G. Kucera and Marcos Mazari-Armida: On universal modules with pure embeddings, arXiv:1903.00414
- Marcos Mazari-Armida: Superstability, noetherian rings and pure-semisimple rings, arXiv:1908.02189.