YAIR HAYUT, Stationary Reflection at the successor of a singular cardinal. Kurt Gödel Research Center, Universität Wien, Währinger Straße 25 1090 Wien, Austria.

E-mail: yair.hayut@univie.ac.at.

In the paper [2], the consistency of stationary reflection holds at all stationary subset of $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ which concentrate on ordinals of uncountable cofinality, was obtained from the existence of a cardinal κ which is κ^+ -supercompact. Using a similar method, Zeman showed in [5] that $\neg \Box_{\aleph_{\omega}}$ is consistent relative to the weaker assumption — a measurable subcompact cardinal. In both cases, Prikry forcing is used in order to singularize a measurable cardinal that will become the new \aleph_{ω} . When trying to improve those results in order to obtain full stationary reflection at $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ one needs to deal with the non-reflecting stationary sets which are introduced by the Prikry forcing.

In this talk I will describe the main ideas behind the method which is used in a joint work with Spencer Unger, [4]. In this work we obtain full stationary reflection at $\aleph_{\omega+1}$, starting from a large cardinal axiom weaker than the one from [2]. This method uses the ideas of [1] and [3], and enables us to analyse the properties of a Prikry type generic extensions by using internal analysis of some iterated ultrapowers, as well as construct a specialized Prikry type forcing notion with a controlled behaviour for our problem.

[1] LEV BUKOVSKÝ Iterated ultrapower and Prikry's forcing Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae vol. 18 (1977), no. 1, pp.77–85.

[2] JAMES CUMMINGS, MATTHEW FOREMAN AND MENACHEM MAGIDOR Squares, scales and stationary reflection Journal of Mathematical Logic vol. 1 (2001), no. 1, pp.35–98.

[3] PATRICK DEHORNOY Iterated ultrapowers and Prikry forcing Annals of Mathematical Logic vol. 15 (1978), no. 2, pp.109–160.

[4] YAIR HAYUT AND SPENCER UNGER Stationary Reflection arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.11329 (2018)

[5] MARTIN ZEMAN, Two upper bounds on consistency strength of $\neg \Box_{\aleph_{\omega}}$ and stationary set reflection at two successive \aleph_n Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, vol. 58 (2017), no. 3, pp. 409–432.